



w: www.nalc.gov.uk a: 109 Great Russell Street, London WC1B 3LD

## **19 NOVEMBER 2019**

# PC13-19 | STRENGTHENING POLICE POWERS TO TACKLE UNAUTHORISED ENCAMPMENTS

### Summary

The Home Office has issued a new consultation on strengthening police powers to tackle unauthorised encampments. The consultation covers criminalising the act of trespassing, broadening the existing categories of criminal trespass and broadening police powers to deal with trespassers. The main consultation document can be found <a href="here">here</a>.

#### Context

The government previously consulted on unauthorised developments and encampments in April 2018. Responses to this made clear that significant problems are created by many unauthorised encampments, including the sense of unease and intimidation residents feel when an unauthorised encampment occurs, the frustration at not being able to access amenities, public land and business premises, and the waste and cost that is left once the encampment has moved on.

The government also heard compelling evidence that stronger powers are needed to address the issues and concerns identified and that the majority of respondents believe the government should consider criminalising unauthorised encampments in England and Wales, by creating an offence of trespassing when setting up an unauthorised encampment. The government would now like to test the appetite to go further and broaden the existing categories of criminal trespass.

## NALC's views

NALC is minded to use points made in response to the April 2018 consultation, as a steer to guide our new response. These include:

- All cases of unauthorised encampments should be criminalised on account of trespassing
- The police should have the power to direct trespassers to leave land as soon as it has been determined that they are there illegally
- The police should be able to take action regardless of the number of vehicles in the unauthorised encampment
- Principal authorities should have the power to demand the police attend as soon as an unauthorised encampment appears and to claim back costs incurred repairing damage caused by clearing up after unauthorised encampments



w: www.nalc.gov.uk a: 109 Great Russell Street, London WC1B 3LD

# Consultation questions

of Local Councils

NALC will be responding to the below consultation questions and is interested in the sector's views:

Q1. To what extent do you agree or disagree that knowingly entering without the landowner's permission should only be made a criminal offence if it is for the purpose of residing on it?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q2. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the act of knowingly entering land without the landowner's permission should only be made a criminal offence if it is for the purpose of residing on it with vehicles?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q3. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the landowner or representatives of the landowner should take reasonable steps to ask persons occupying their land to remove themselves and their possessions before occupation of the land can be considered a criminal offence?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

- Q4. To what extent do you agree or disagree that a criminal offence can only be committed when the following conditions have been met?
- a) the encampment prevents people entitled to use the land from making use of it Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree
- b) the encampment is causing or is likely to cause damage to the land or amenities Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree
- c) those on the encampment have demanded money from the landowner to vacate the land; and/or Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree
- d) those on the encampment are involved or are likely to be involved in anti-social behaviour.

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q5. What other conditions not covered in the above should we consider?



t: 020 7637 1865 e: nalc@nalc.gov.uk w: www.nalc.gov.uk a: 109 Great Russell Street, London WC1B 3LD

Q6. To what extent do you agree or disagree that police should be given the power to direct trespassers to suitable authorised sites in a neighbouring local authority area?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q7: Should this be subject to conditions around agreements being in place between local authorities?

Yes / No

Q8: Should there be a maximum distance that a trespasser can be directed across?

Yes / No If yes, what distance should that be?

Q9: Should there be any other conditions that should be considered when directing a trespasser across neighbouring authorities. If so, what should these be?

Yes / No

If yes, what should these be?

Q10. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the period of time in which trespassers directed from land would be unable to return should be increased from 3 months to 12 months?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q11. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the number of vehicles needing to be involved in an unauthorised encampment before police powers can be exercised should be lowered from six to two vehicles?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q12. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the police should be granted the power to remove trespassers from land that forms part of the highway?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q13: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the police should be granted the power to seize property, including vehicles, from trespassers who are on land with the purpose of residing on it?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q14: Should the police be able to seize the property of:



t: 020 7637 1865 e: nalc@nalc.gov.uk w: www.nalc.gov.uk a: 109 Great Russell Street, London WC1B 3LD

- i) Anyone whom they suspect to be trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it;
- ii) Anyone they arrest for trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it;
- or iii) Anyone convicted of trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it?

Q15. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the proposed amendments to sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 contained in this consultation are sufficient measures to tackle the public disorder issues which are associated with unauthorised encampments without the requirement for introducing specific powers that criminalise unauthorised encampments?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q18. Do you have any other comments to make on the issue of unauthorised encampments not specifically addressed by any of the questions above?

Your views

Please email your responses to this consultation to Jessica.Lancod-Frost@nalc.gov.uk by 17.00 on 19 February 2019. County associations are asked to forward this briefing onto all member councils in their area.

© NALC 2019